

The Full Londis Sequence (2022)

A forensic reconstruction for counsel, integrating documentary material and reconstructed events

1. Overview

This appendix provides a clear, stand-alone description of the two Londis-related incidents occurring in **July–September 2022**, together with subsequent interactions with Suffolk Constabulary. It consolidates:

- Crime reference material
- CAD information
- Officer involvement
- The internal police narrative
- The victim's documented rebuttal
- Two reconstructed events necessary to complete the sequence

The purpose of the appendix is to present the Londis timeline in a **coherent, evidentially structured form**, without modifying the sequential order of the chronology contained in the main suite.

2. Timeline Summary

28 July 2022 — Londis First Incident

Crime Ref: 37/48274/22

C&C Incident: SC-28072022-276

Location: Londis Village Store, 10 Holmsey Green, Beck Row, IP28 8AJ

OIC: PC 549 Parkinson-Hill (Mildenhall NRT Team 3)

Documentary Source: Crime Report Print (29/07/2022)

Police recorded an allegation of theft following a disputed £5 electricity top-up. The vendor alleged refusal to pay; the victim stated he believed the transaction had been cancelled. Multiple supervisory entries (T/Sgt Hammond, T/Sgt Welford) adopted the vendor's account. The matter proceeded as a theft investigation.

Late July / Early August 2022 — Investigative Progression

Documentary entries show the case reviewed by:

- **T/Sgt 1052 Hammond** (29/07/2022, 11:28)

- **T/Sgt 1958 Welford** (29/07/2022, 15:37)
- **PC 37 460 Goreham** (30/07/2022, 03:38)

Their summaries reflect an acceptance of the vendor's narrative and an interpretation that the victim "refused to pay." The victim's assertion—believing the transaction was cancelled—appears but is not reflected in investigative direction.

03 September 2022 — Londis Second Incident

CAD: SC-03092022-264

Crime Refs: 37/56906/22 (Public Order), 37/56911/22 (Cannabis Possession)

Arresting Officer: PC 37 1654 Feeney

The vendor again alleged non-payment and additional public order issues. The victim was arrested on suspicion of public order offences and possession of cannabis (the latter relating to prescribed medical cannabis). The CAD log notes significant background noise and references both a "civil dispute" and alleged public order conduct.

This second incident occurred **after** the first investigation remained unresolved and before any exculpatory documentation had been submitted.

3. Reconstructed Event A — Attendance at Bury St Edmunds Police Station (Post–03/09/2022)

(Reconstruction based on the victim's recollection; no documentary timestamp present.)

Following the second arrest, the victim collated documentary evidence demonstrating:

- Valid cash payment for the electricity top-up
- Bank activity confirming no declined transaction
- Documentation from E.ON and PayPoint casting doubt on the vendor's account

He recalls attending **Bury St Edmunds Police Station** to submit this evidence.

At this point, the previously assigned **Crime Reference 37/48274/22** (from the first incident) was presented to the victim by civilian police personnel on sight of the newly collated material.

This reconstructed event explains the later sergeant's response and aligns with the structural position of Crime 37/48274/22.

4. Reconstructed Event B — Encounter with Sergeant at Police Investigation Centre (Bail Attendance)

(Reconstruction based on victim's recollection; no custody record present.)

When attending the Police Investigation Centre for bail reporting, the victim states he was met in **reception** by a **sergeant**. The victim presented:

- The documentation previously supplied at Bury St Edmunds police station
- The crime reference associated with the initial investigation

According to the victim's recollection, the sergeant reviewed the material and permitted him to leave **without** being booked into custody or processed for bail documentation.

Recorded simply as an observed occurrence; no procedural judgment is made.

5. Case Disposal (Documentary)

01 November 2022

Outcome recorded by PC 549 Parkinson-Hill:

"As discussed with SGT Maxfield, this is not in the public interest to proceed, low level offence."

The first Londis case (37/48274/22) was closed with a Type 16 disposal
(Named Suspect Identified: Evidential Difficulties Prevent Further Action).

This closure postdates both reconstructed events and aligns with a cessation of police interest after presentation of exculpatory material.

6. Narrative Discrepancies (Neutral Description)

Across the combined events, the following neutral discrepancies arise:

Vendor → Police Narrative

- Refusal to pay
- Theft of electricity credit
- Escalating aggression during disputes
- Continued concerns about payment behaviour

Victim → Police Narrative

- Belief the first transaction was cancelled
- Evidence of valid cash payment
- Bank and PayPoint documentation contradicting vendor allegations
- Dashcam evidence contextualising movements on 03/09/2022
- Rebuttal of public order characterization

Police Internal Narrative

- Initial acceptance of vendor's account
- Subsequent arrest during the second incident
- Later de-escalation following submission of evidence
- Closure on grounds of public interest and evidential difficulty

Each narrative is presented without interpretation; the appendix merely records divergence.

7. Officer Map (Documented)

Officer	Role	Date(s)	Source
PC 549 Parkinson-Hill	OIC for 37/48274/22	29/07–01/11/2022	Crime Report
T/Sgt 1052 Hammond	Investigation summary	29/07/2022	Crime Report
T/Sgt 1958 Welford	Supervisor review	29/07/2022	Crime Report
PC 37 460 Goreham	Investigation summary	30/07/2022	Crime Report
PC 37 1654 Feeney	Arresting officer (03/09/2022)	Custody/CAD	
Unnamed Sergeant	Reconstructed — Bail encounter	Post-03/09/2022	Victim recollection