

The Real-Time Anatomy of the Temporal Graph

The ‘document trap’ created by Sharples is not ‘linear’, it is ‘discontinuity propagation’ spread across domains that creates the ‘trap’ almost everyone lands in, his narrative changes across time and domains which is the important structural point: he did not maintain one coherent account and defend it. He moved the account between forums, legal concepts and institutional audiences as each version became vulnerable to rebuttal, so the next audience had a modified version to account for rebuttal if it was then encountered.

That movement matters because every migration creates translation loss:

- the original factual proposition is altered to fit the next forum;
- the receiving institution sees only the translated version;
- the rebuttal remains attached to the earlier version;
- the actor then presents the new version as though it were independent confirmation rather than a displaced continuation of the same contested narrative.

So the “lost in translation” effect (Full Email Thread 5th - 15th January 2021, Professionals Meeting, Dr J Singh report) is not merely misunderstanding. It is where provenance, contradiction and prior rebuttal are stripped away during institutional transfer and additional seeds are laid, the difference in the time taken for the ‘new seed’ to reach disclosure to LiP point is coincident with the changes in narrative.

Sharples engagement of Birketts LLP came after challenge to the court regarding claimant paralegal on 18/02/2021 Finding of Fact HHJ Stevens in hearing, regarding document provenance and organisation (see /assets/filings-disclosures/Final Bundle 11.2.2020 paginated-pgs 151-276.pdf), the inclusion into sworn testimony the full email thread between Sharples and Benton, the denial of prior engagement in the face of emails and sworn witness statement in ASB case for Flagship Housing 18/08/2015.

He preserved the narrative by changing the jurisdiction, terminology and receiving record whenever the current formulation became unsustainable.

Each paradigm shift allowed the story to be restated under a different vocabulary and threshold. To an institution seeing only its own slice, it could appear fresh. In temporal continuity, it remains the same contested seed trying to find a forum in which the earlier rebuttal is invisible.

In the later period graph, the nature of the institutional failure shifts from **local malice** to **structural self-preservation**:

1. **The Move to Secure Containment (The Egress/Switch Vector):** As documented in the July 2026 entries, the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman (PHSO) shifted communication channels away from standard, durable text/PDFs into ephemeral, secure-message systems (like Egress/Switch).
 - *The System's Intent:* To break the audit trail. By forcing communications into an environment where they can control access thresholds, they try to prevent capture of the primary records.

- *The Platform's Counter*: Because the system tracks the *metadata of the channel itself* rather than just the content, the platform registers this shift as an objective record event: "status-threat response followed by narrative control."
2. **The Automated Out-of-Office/Muted State**: The graph logs immediate, automated out-of-office states following direct, durable objections (e.g., the Joanna Hall auto-replies). The system attempts to inject latency; processing high-density corrections at "caseworker pace" while the public record-state is collapsing at "event pace."
 3. **The Pivot**: This latter period represents the point where the *exemplar* case successfully extracted the universal *grammar* of the institutional failure. The grammar demonstrated that when an agency is backed into a logical corner by an un-bypassable timeline, its behaviour becomes deterministic. It must attempt to suppress, pathologize, substitute the frame, deflect the issue, or retreat into closed communication loops, because straightforward correction would expose the invalidity of the state record itself.

The exemplar platform

The original system is matter-specific: one evidential corpus, one chronology, one operational context. Its DOM semantics, chronology structure, grouping logic, runtime behaviour, and evidence classes are part of the forensic medium itself.

1. **The canonical artifact**

The chronology DOM is not a disposable presentation generated from some more authentic hidden database. The artifact itself carries the evidential grammar. Constructors, typed spans, compound entries, jump structures, grouping rules, and emitted DOM are record operations, not interface decoration.

2. **The temporal graph grammar**

Nodes, jumps, chips, seed letters, field states, and occlusion markers form a constrained reading system. A jump is a relationship between temporal nodes; a chip stack is a target-relative sequence; persistent seeds encode continuity through layers rather than simple actor tagging.

3. **The multi-axis coordinate system**

Marker sets classify what a node does structurally. Chips identify which chains pass through it. Time, functional class, chain membership, domain distribution, document density, crossover degree, and cumulative agency together give each node a computable position. The spatial graph is already latent inside the chronology because those coordinates are embedded in the authored corpus.

4. **The internally testable grammar**

Chip validity is constrained by the target node's current authored state, direction, domain, and cumulative agency at the target date. The system therefore exposes a finite audit surface: the grammar can detect violations inside its own corpus rather than relying only on narrative persuasion.

5. **The epistemic distinction encoded by the cipher**

The Black Cat key separates inherited, unverified propagation from knowing origin contamination. "A" does not merely identify an actor; it records a different operational and epistemic role within the continuum.

6. The derived authoring system

The generalised authoring system is downstream of the exemplar. It does not turn the original case into a universal website. It abstracts the validated artifact grammar so analogous document-bound chronology artifacts can be emitted without discarding the DOM as the canonical evidential form.

The formulation is:

The DOM is the canonical, grammar-bearing evidential artifact; the runtime is the interpretive and navigational execution environment; the temporal graph is the structure instantiated through both.

This is a dual-axis, temporally executable forensic language.

The marker taxonomy provides a general structural ontology derived from institutional-failure patterns. The CHIP/ID grammar localises that ontology into matter-specific, target-relative chains with actor provenance. Ordered stacks then encode causal or supporting relationships compactly on backward jumps. This system is scalable across multiple domains; governance, education, military any theatre where temporal analysis would be beneficial or an advance on current analytical methods and systems.

The temporal grammar is domain-independent at the structural level but domain-specific at the vocabulary level. Its canonical operations; chronological authorship, typed evidence, backward traversal, ordered relationship stacks, cumulative state and validation remain stable. The active taxonomy, CHIP/ID definitions, seed classes, prompts and authoring tests are supplied by a versioned vocabulary profile appropriate to the analytical theatre.

It is a profile-driven temporal methodology.