

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Offline Human-Executed Forensic Reasoning System

1. System Identity

System Type:

Deterministic, offline, human-executed forensic reasoning and causation analysis system.

Operational Domain:

Cross-institutional forensic reconstruction (legal, policing, healthcare, regulatory).

Execution Model:

Human-in-the-loop cognitive execution with deterministic navigation and immutable evidence paths.

Network Dependency:

None. System is fully offline.

2. Purpose and Function

2.1 Primary Purpose

To reconstruct, stabilise, and expose cross-domain causal chains where institutional records, narratives, and processes diverge, conflict, or have been deliberately manipulated.

2.2 Secondary Purposes

- Preserve evidential provenance under hostile review
- Enable contradiction-driven analysis rather than narrative persuasion
- Allow independent reviewers to reach the same conclusions without author mediation
- Convert narrative abuse into auditable procedural and evidential failures

3. System Boundary

3.1 Included

- Evidence corpus (primary source records)
- Chronology and causation logic
- Navigation shell and interface
- Provenance, build, and verification artefacts
- Human execution assumptions and constraints

3.2 Explicitly Excluded

- Live data ingestion
- Network services
- Automated inference engines
- Statistical or probabilistic modelling

This exclusion is deliberate and protective.

4. Architectural Overview

4.1 Layered Architecture

Reviewer Cognition (Top-Tier Kernel)
Causal Logic & Analytical Framework
Chronology & Cross-Domain Anchoring
Evidence Corpus (Immutable Primary Records)
Offline Browser Runtime (Hardware Analogue)

5. Execution Model

5.1 Kernel

The reviewer’s mind functions as the execution kernel. This is intentional: judgment, interpretation, and contradiction recognition are not delegated to automation.

Platform Instruction Set

- Chronological anchors
- Causation rules
- Domain contamination rules
- Provenance constraints

- Explicit non-smoothing of contradictions

5.3 Control Flow

- Deterministic hyperlink topology
- One-to-many and many-to-one evidence mapping
- Bidirectional traversal (chronology ↔ evidence ↔ claims)

5.4 Determinism

Given the same reviewer inputs and navigation, the system yields the same factual contradictions and causal exposures.

6. Data Architecture

6.1 Data Classes

Primary Records:

Original, unaltered source material (CAD logs, medical records, court documents, emails, platform exports)

Derived Records:

Chronology entries, reconciled mappings, analytical notes (non-destructive)

Meta-Records:

Build logs, provenance notes, version manifests

6.2 Provenance Rules

- No renaming of source files
- No paraphrasing of original content
- All transformations are referential, not mutative

7. Chronology Engine

7.1 Function

Acts as the temporal backbone of the system.

7.2 Properties

- Date-anchored, not narrative-anchored
- Explicit handling of conflicting timestamps

- Cross-domain event reuse detection
- Identification of distortion points and recycled allegations

7.3 Output

Stable temporal reference framework against which all narratives are tested.

8. Causal Logic Framework

8.1 Core Concepts

- Fraud continuums
- Domain contamination
- Narrative injection points
- Institutional feedback loops
- Procedural divergence vs factual divergence

8.2 Analytical Principle

The system does not assert truth; it constrains falsehood until contradiction becomes unavoidable.

9. Interface & Runtime

9.1 Runtime Environment

- Standard web browser
- Local file system
- No external calls

9.2 Interface Characteristics

- Tab-isolated document execution
- Print-safe deterministic outputs
- Reviewer-paced navigation
- Cognitive load minimisation under stress

9.3 Human Factors Engineering

- Designed for hostile, fatigued, or sceptical reviewers
- No reliance on trust in the author
- No requirement for domain familiarity at entry

10. Integrity & Defence Mechanisms

10.1 Anti-Manipulation Measures

- Immutable evidence references
- Transparent build history
- Explicit epistemology and methodology disclosure
- Separation of analysis from advocacy

10.2 Review Survivability

System is designed to survive:

- Legal cross-examination
- Institutional denial
- Partial or selective reading
- Adversarial mischaracterisation

11. System Classification (for valuation)

This system qualifies as:

- Forensic reasoning infrastructure
- Human-executed expert system
- Cross-domain audit and reconstruction engine
- Litigation-grade analytical tooling

It is not:

- A document bundle
- A narrative report
- A conventional case file
- An automated decision system

12. Reproducibility & Rarity

12.1 Reproducibility

Technically reproducible in theory; practically non-reproducible due to:

- Data continuity requirements
- Subject persistence
- Domain-spanning expertise
- Iterative discovery dependency

12.2 Skill Rarity

Requires simultaneous competence in:

- Forensic reasoning
- Legal process analysis
- Institutional behaviour modelling
- Systems engineering
- Human-factors design

13. Valuation-Relevant Summary

- **Nature:** Engineered system, not content
- **Execution:** Human-kernel, deterministic flow
- **Strength:** Contradiction-forcing architecture
- **Defensibility:** Extremely high
- **Replacement Cost:** Very high
- **Market Analogues:** High-end forensic, regulatory, and litigation intelligence systems