

LEGAL FUNCTION OF EACH MODULE

1. Index / Hub (Orientation Layer)

Role: Case onboarding + controlled entry point

Litigation Value:

- Gives a *map of the battlefield*.
 - Establishes the framework: who the actors are, what the issues are, where the evidence lives.
 - Enforces your intended reading order (Orientation → Claims → Chronology → Records).
 - Prevents scattershot reading that would lead to misunderstanding.
-

2. Orientation Suite (Legal Theory Foundation)

2.1 Analytical Overview / Orientation PDF

Role: Establishes the *case narrative and theory of harm*.

Value:

- Sets the legal story: false rumour → institutional error → unlawful detention.
- Gives counsel the “root cause → propagation → damage” chain.
- Provides the context required for interpreting all other modules.

This is the **Case Theory Module**.

2.2 Concerns & Issues

Role: Forces recognition of the issue map.

Value:

- Lists misfeasance, data breaches, due process failures.
- Establishes early foreseeability and pattern-of-conduct.

2.3 Case Structure (orientation.html)

Role: Navigation of factual universe.

Value:

- Shows how chronology, evidence, appendices, records interact as one whole.
- Reveals the entire case layout on a single conceptual plane.

2.4 Legal Aid Merits / Causal Chains / Claims Matrix

Role: Places the case into legal frameworks.

Value:

- Turns narrative into actionable *causes of action*.
- Shows interlocking chains of causation that support liability.
- Identifies breaches of duty, rights infringements, and malicious intent.

This module converts “bad behaviour” into **legally recognisable harm**.

3. Probative Suite (Primary Factual Matrix)

This is where the **substance of the case** is stored.

3.1 Keystone

Role: Core factual brief.

Value:

- Acts as the backbone of the entire factual matrix.
 - Establishes the “fixed points” against which contradictions are measured.
 - Gives counsel the uncontested timeline anchors.
-

3.2 Tier One / Tier Two

Role: Structured issue analysis

Value:

- **Tier One:** maps the core disputes with actors → issues → evidence.
- **Tier Two:** escalates to litigation strategy (claims, evidence matrix, must-read exhibits).
- Teaches the case in “layers” rather than dumping 300+ files in one bucket.

These modules form the **Issue Tree**.

3.3 Claimheads (Claims → Evidence Matrix)

Role: Converts allegations into prosecutable legal claims.

Value:

- For each Head of Claim:
 - legal elements

- factual allegations
 - chronology events
 - relevant evidence
 - Provides *element-to-proof* mapping.
-

3.4 Chronology (Core Evidential Engine)

Role: The most important module in the entire suite.

Value:

- Creates the **causal and temporal skeleton** of the case.
- Demonstrates misrepresentation, omissions, fabrications, contradictory statements.
- Links every event to supporting documentation.
- Contains notes signalling misaligned police logs, illegal disclosures, procedural defects.

The chronology is the **perjury detector**, **fraud exposure tool**, and **causation map**.

3.5 Search Hub

Role: Fast access to any fact, name, date, or document.

Value:

- Allows instant cross-reference of claims.
- Eliminates guesswork.
- Reduces briefing time drastically.

This module is a **digital paralegal** built into the suite.

3.6 Appendices

Role: Deep narrative clarifications, extended analysis, background material.

Value:

- Provides the *context* behind events that look isolated in the chronology.
- Exposes the motives, psychological patterns, escalation triggers.
- Clarifies contradictory records.
- Documents institutional misconduct in detail.

They are not primary proof — they are **interpretation aids**.

They answer: “What is the story behind this evidence?”

4. NHS / Medical / Records Modules

Each independently supports:

- Psychological harm damages
- Causation evidence
- State failures
- Breach of duty
- Timeline authenticity
- Institutional foreseeability

4.1 NHS Healthcare

Role: Overview of failures in medical safeguarding.

Value:

- Shows weaponisation of mental health narrative.
- Demonstrates lack of clinical basis for claims used by Police and Landlord.
- Tracks institutional bias and negligence.

4.2 Hospital Records

Role: Provides independent records during key escalation points.

Value:

- Verifies that reports were consistent and factual.
- Undermines the “delusional/fixated” narrative.
- Shows causation: stress, PTSD spikes, anxiety, harassment impact.

4.3 GP Records

Role: Longitudinal medical history.

Value:

- Demonstrates pre-existing managed conditions.
- Shows deterioration uniquely correlated with institutional actions.
- Supports psychiatric injury claim and foreseeability.

This module establishes **medical causation and vulnerability**, which is critical for Human Rights claims and aggravating factors.

5. Police, Crime, CAD, Custody, Filings, Disclosures

These are the case's **hard proof**.

5.1 Crime Reports / CAD / Custody

Role: Factual, timestamped, system-generated records.

Value:

- Expose omissions, inconsistencies, tampering, contradictions.
- Demonstrate knowledge by police of false allegations.
- Provide objective timestamps that invalidate false narratives.

5.2 Filings & Disclosures

Role: Court documents and official bundles.

Value:

- Reveal fraudulent representations forming the basis of civil proceedings.
- Demonstrate procedural errors and nullity.
- Show how unlawful arrest and detention flowed directly from misrepresentations.

These support:

- **Misfeasance in public office**
 - **Civil fraud**
 - **Article 5 HRA** (unlawful deprivation of liberty)
 - **Article 8 HRA** (private life, data breaches)
 - **Negligence**
 - **Exemplary damages**
-

6. Build Logs / Provenance & Analytical

Role: Meta-analysis and internal QC

Value:

- Documents the reconstruction process.
 - Provides chain-of-custody for all documentation.
 - Shows the intentional design behind evidence organisation.
 - Shields against claims that the suite was “selective” or “misleading.”
-

7. The Entire Architecture — Legal Purpose

It proves three things beyond dispute:

1. **A malicious rumour was injected by a landlord employee.**
2. **Police, landlord, and mental health services adopted it without verification.**
3. **That adoption caused unlawful arrest, unlawful civil proceedings, mental health detention, and imprisonment.**

Everything in the suite environment supports one or more of:

- **proof of knowledge**
 - **proof of recklessness**
 - **proof of malice**
 - **proof of causation**
 - **proof of damage**
 - **proof of breaches of duty**
 - **proof of unlawful interference**
-