

Keystone Overview Map

"There is no greater tyranny than that which is perpetrated under the shield of the law and in the name of justice."

Montesquieu

Neutral terrain view of the litigation landscape grounded in the 27/09/2020 anchor and the underlying Civil Fraud.

1) Keystone & Lever

27 Sep 2020 – ISSC insurer payout anchors the institutional narrative: liability was acknowledged and underwriter scrutiny passed. This fixes the event chain in verified fact.

- Any later attempt to re-author the chronology collides with the *insurer-accepted justification*.
- The **Professionals' Meeting (22 Apr 2021)** exposes the subsequent *cross-agency coordination* that repurposed the civil process after acquittal.
- That meeting is the **inflection point**—the moment the lawful record began to diverge from evidential reality.
- Victim's **LiP status and imprisonment (13 Oct 2022)** for attempting to raise fraud on the court confirms the systemic inversion: a litigant punished for discharging duty *to* the court, not against it.
- Together, these anchors—**ISSC payout → Professionals' Meeting → LiP committal** — form the causative spine of the fraud continuum.

The insurer's acceptance validates the origin; the Professionals' Meeting reveals the mechanism; the LiP imprisonment demonstrates outcome. Each component corroborates the others, making this triad the true **keystone and lever**.

2.A) The Civil Fraud Architecture

Elements counsel can infer from the archive without instruction:

- **False representation:** fabricated/contradictory reports; engineered complaints.
- **Knowledge or recklessness:** repeat contradictions; neighbour recruitment attempts; clustered contact networks.
- **Intention:** trigger police action/custody to suppress the client's credibility; protect role and status.
- **Reliance:** police/CPS/court acted; resources spent.
- **Loss:** public purse, liberty, distress, reputational harm.

Critical HRA trigger: Prior to the injunction application, the ASB Officer initiated a deprivation of liberty engaging Article 5 ECHR (§1). Any subsequent statutory declaration asserting “no HRA issues” was therefore false at the moment of swearing, vitiating the civil process ab initio. All downstream defects and fraud derive from this incompatibility. Result: fraud taints the civil process that led to arrest/custody and downstream harm.

2.B) Jurisdictional Defects

- N205c proves civil process not issued until 14/10/2020.
- Accompanying letter alleges hearing on 16/09/2020.
- Service contradiction voids jurisdiction; injunction arguably void ab initio.
- False statutory declaration (HRA “No”) raises issues under the Perjury Act 1911.

3) Limitation & “Fraud Unravels All”

- Fraud **stops the clock** until discovery; concealment resets it again.
- The five police claims ride the **fraud clock**, not ordinary limitation.
- Each concealed contradiction/omission is another pause event.

4) Amplification Across five Ongoing Police Claims

- **False arrest / false imprisonment / trespass / assault / harassment / DPA breach** shift from negligence/error to **aggravated by dishonesty**.
- **Quantum** increases (aggravated/exemplary elements arguable).
- **Causation** simplified: the payout already admits the chain is real.

5) Criminal Portal (adjacent lane)

- **Misconduct in public office, Perverting the course of justice, Fraud by false representation** become viable on the facts.
- Civil remains primary; criminal exposure explains PHSO/PSD/IOPC gravity and bail risk.

6) Funding Implications

- Risk model flips: this is scaling an anchored case, not gambling on speculation.
- **Legal Aid** becomes jurisdictionally compelled once fraud and procedural defects are established (LeO service findings reinforce and de-risk).

Roles on the Board

- **Client:** forensic archive compels binary choices; no hubris; institutions corner themselves.
- **ASB Officer:** source of fraudulent civil process; contradictions/contact network; under PSD/IOPC lens.
- **Suffolk Constabulary/Insurers:** payout fixes narrative to which they are now tethered.
- **LeO:** service arbiter; determination validates funding optics.
- **PSD/IOPC:** holding Suite v5 raises institutional stakes.
- **PHSO:** currently under formal assessment; role extends beyond safety net to active review of maladministration, including complaint-handling pathways and the professional recycling of potentially tainted reports.

Final Summary

27/09/2020 anchors liability. The **underlying Civil Fraud** (ASB Officer) collapses limitation, amplifies five police claims, and validates funding once service issues are recognised by LeO. PHSO/PSD/IOPC gravity and the insurer-fixed narrative create institutional pressure to resolve on civil terms, with criminal exposure in reserve.

