

# Keystone Overview Map

*"There is no greater tyranny than that which is perpetrated under the shield of the law and in the name of justice."*

*Montesquieu*

Neutral terrain view of the litigation landscape grounded in the 27/09/2020 anchor and the underlying Civil Fraud.

## 1) Keystone & Lever

**27 Sep 2020 – ISSC insurer payout** anchors the institutional narrative: liability was acknowledged and underwriter scrutiny passed. This fixes the event chain in verified fact.

- Any later attempt to re-author the chronology collides with the *insurer-accepted justification*.
- The **Professionals' Meeting (22 Apr 2021)** exposes the subsequent *cross-agency coordination* that repurposed the civil process after acquittal.
- That meeting is the **inflection point**—the moment the lawful record began to diverge from evidential reality.
- Victim's **LiP status and imprisonment (13 Oct 2022)** for attempting to raise fraud on the court confirms the systemic inversion: a litigant punished for discharging duty *to* the court, not against it.
- Together, these anchors—**ISSC payout → Professionals' Meeting → LiP committal** — form the causative spine of the fraud continuum.

The insurer's acceptance validates the origin; the Professionals' Meeting reveals the mechanism; the LiP imprisonment demonstrates outcome. Each component corroborates the others, making this triad the true **keystone and lever**.

## 2.A) The Civil Fraud Architecture

Elements counsel can infer from the archive without instruction:

- **False representation:** fabricated/contradictory reports; engineered complaints.
- **Knowledge or recklessness:** repeat contradictions; neighbour recruitment attempts; clustered contact networks.
- **Intention:** trigger police action/custody to suppress the client's credibility; protect role and status.
- **Reliance:** police/CPS/court acted; resources spent.
- **Loss:** public purse, liberty, distress, reputational harm.

Critical HRA trigger: Prior to the injunction application, the ASB Officer initiated a deprivation of liberty engaging Article 5 ECHR (§1). Any subsequent statutory declaration asserting "no HRA issues" was therefore false at the moment of swearing, vitiating the civil process *ab initio*. All downstream defects and fraud derive from this incompatibility. Result: fraud taints the civil process that led to arrest/custody and downstream harm.

## 2.B) Jurisdictional Defects

- N205c proves civil process not issued until 14/10/2020.
- Accompanying letter alleges hearing on 16/09/2020.
- Service contradiction voids jurisdiction; injunction arguably void ab initio.
- False statutory declaration (HRA “No”) raises issues under the Perjury Act 1911.

### 3) Limitation & “Fraud Unravels All”

- Fraud **stops the clock** until discovery; concealment resets it again.
- The five police claims ride the **fraud clock**, not ordinary limitation.
- Each concealed contradiction/omission is another pause event.

### 4) Amplification Across five Ongoing Police Claims

- **False arrest / false imprisonment / trespass / assault / harassment / DPA breach** shift from negligence/error to **aggravated by dishonesty**.
- **Quantum** increases (aggravated/exemplary elements arguable).
- **Causation** simplified: the payout already admits the chain is real.

### 5) Criminal Portal (adjacent lane)

- **Misconduct in public office, Perverting the course of justice, Fraud by false representation** become viable on the facts.
- Civil remains primary; criminal exposure explains PHSO/PSD/IOPC gravity and bail risk.

### 6) Funding Implications

- Risk model flips: this is scaling an anchored case, not gambling on speculation.
- **Legal Aid** becomes jurisdictionally compelled once fraud and procedural defects are established (LeO service findings reinforce and de-risk).

### Roles on the Board

- **Client:** forensic archive compels binary choices; no hubris; institutions corner themselves.
- **ASB Officer:** source of fraudulent civil process; contradictions/contact network; under PSD/IOPC lens.
- **Suffolk Constabulary/Insurers:** payout fixes narrative to which they are now tethered.
- **LeO:** service arbiter; determination validates funding optics.
- **PSD/IOPC:** holding Suite v5 raises institutional stakes.
- **PHSO:** currently under formal assessment; role extends beyond safety net to active review of maladministration, including complaint-handling pathways and the professional recycling of potentially tainted reports.

### Final Summary

**27/09/2020** anchors liability. The **underlying Civil Fraud** (ASB Officer) collapses limitation, amplifies five police claims, and validates funding once service issues are recognised by LeO. PHSO/PSD/IOPC gravity and the insurer-fixed narrative create institutional pressure to resolve on civil terms, with criminal exposure in reserve.

