# Foundations

This platform records the consequences of a **demonstrated and adjudicated civil process defect** that resulted in unlawful arrest, false imprisonment, and acknowledged state liability. The matters documented here do **not** proceed from allegation, inference, or unresolved dispute.

The originating defect arose when false and retaliatory allegations were injected into civil process and subsequently relied upon across housing enforcement, policing, mental healthcare, and judicial decision-making **without lawful verification or correction**. Once introduced, that contamination was not arrested. It was repeated, laundered, and escalated through institutional channels that treated upstream material as authoritative despite clear indicators of falsity.

The unlawfulness of that process has already been established. Liability for the arrest and detention of 27 September 2020 has been formally conceded by settlement, fixing the historical fact of wrongful state action. Subsequent disclosures have independently confirmed that key records relied upon at earlier stages were false at inception, rendering the originating civil process **void ab initio**.

Despite that position having been reached, the underlying defect has not been fully corrected. Contaminated records remain live, derivative decisions continue to rely upon them, and institutional actors have failed to discharge their corrective obligations. This platform exists to document the full fraud continuum, the mechanisms by which it was propagated and legitimised, and the outstanding failures of correction that persist despite adjudicated unlawfulness.

Referrals regarding this case have been made to external oversight bodies, including IOPC Reference: 2025/009880 (referred to Norfolk Policing Standards Department (PSD ref: MI/743/25)), Parliamentary Health Services Ombudsman (PHSO) Case Reference: C-2154042, and Legal Ombudsman Case Ref: F194151.

.

# Key Individuals and Entities

- **Victim:** Michael John Burdette-Deakin, the aggrieved party, subject to harassment, civil fraud, and unjust actions.
- **ASB Officer (Mr. P. Sharples):** The primary instigator and perpetrator of the civil fraud, malicious actions, and false allegations against the Victim.
- **Crystal-25:** A former neighbor, whose initial interactions with the Victim became a catalyst for the ASB Officer's campaign of harassment.

- **Suffolk Constabulary Police Officers (e.g., T/Sgt 1845 BENTON, PC 806 SAMPHER, PC 37 1654 FEENEY, Inspector Mark Shipton):** Individuals whose actions, or lack thereof (despite explicit warnings), contributed to the injustices faced by the Victim, including data breaches and knowing participation in an unjust legal process.

- **Landlord (Flagship Housing Group Ltd.):** The employing organization of the ASB Officer, responsible for his conduct and potentially vicariously liable for the civil fraud.

- **NHS Norfolk and Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (NSFT):** Healthcare provider involved in the Victim's mental health assessments, implicated in data breaches (e.g., Vicki Catchpole) and alleged facilitation of unwarranted detention (Dr. C. Zapata).

- **Satchell Moran:** The legal firm initially representing the Victim for a specific claims, the reliance of then counsel on tainted medical records resulting in loss of confidence and credibility between counsel and client.

- **HNK Solicitors (now Express Solicitors Limited):** The legal firm had been representing the Victim for a number of specific claims, whose alleged poor advice and refusal to pursue the foundational civil fraud claim are now subject to a complaint to the Legal Ombudsman. Professional abdication (Outside CFA Model).

- **Vicki Catchpole:** Liaison & Diversion Practitioner from NSFT, explicitly identified in police records and Nick Bunn's statement as a source of unauthorized disclosure of the Victim's confidential custody and mental health information to Flagship.

- **Nick Bunn:** Safe Communities Manager at Flagship Housing Group, who received unauthorized confidential information about the Victim from both T/Sgt Benton and Vicki Catchpole, and subsequently used it in a sworn statement for civil proceedings.

- **Dr. C. Zapata:** Mental Health Team Consultant Psychiatrist at NSFT, who chaired an unconsented "Professionals Meeting" and allegedly sectioned the Victim for 28 days based on speculative information, despite later findings of no underlying mental illness.

- **Jessica Thorn:** Employee of Flagship Housing Group, who sent key communications containing false assertions (e.g., 02/11/2020 injunction letter) and whose statement was part of the 18/02/2021 trial bundle.

- **Tom Crabb:** Alias used in an email demanding unpaid rent (22/08/2023), believed to be an alias for Mr. P. Sharples in an alleged fraudulent attempt to collect funds.

# Cumulative Impact on Victim

- **Profound Psychological Distress and Trauma:** The Victim has experienced a profound and debilitating exacerbation of pre-existing Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and anxiety. This includes heightened hyper-vigilance, severe paranoia, and a deep, justified distrust of all authority figures and societal institutions. The deliberate and repeated false accusations (especially of "paedophile-type behaviour") and the gaslighting attempts to dismiss genuine concerns as "mental health issues" inflicted immense emotional anguish, psychological torment, and irreparable reputational damage, driving the Victim to the very limits of their psychological endurance. The unwarranted detention under the Mental Health Act and the subsequent unlawful incarceration directly compounded this trauma, causing significant and enduring personal injury.

- **Unjust Incarceration and Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty:** The seven-and-a-half-month imprisonment was a traumatic, entirely unwarranted, and unlawful deprivation of freedom. This direct and prolonged loss of liberty had severe impacts on the Victim's physical and mental health, and was a direct consequence of a flawed civil process actively enforced by police aware of underlying discrepancies.

- **Severe Financial Detriment:** The Victim has incurred significant financial losses, including legal costs (even with the documented denial of legal aid), the loss of nearly all personal possessions upon release from incarceration, and substantial disruption to their ability to maintain stable housing and livelihood.

- **Erosion of Trust and Sense of Security:** The repeated failures of protective services (police, mental health services, and the landlord) to act impartially, adhere to due process, or protect the Victim from documented malicious conduct have completely eroded the Victim's fundamental sense of security and trust in societal institutions. This systemic betrayal has left the Victim feeling persistently vulnerable and persecuted.

- **Social Isolation and Stigma:** The pervasive malicious rumours (particularly the "paedophile" allegation) and the highly visible legal entanglements have undoubtedly contributed to significant social stigma and isolation, further compounding the Victim's distress and hindering their ability to rebuild their life post-incarceration.

- **Prolonged Ordeal and Impact on Recovery:** The ongoing nature of the legal processes and the continuous discovery of new evidence (as evidenced by the three weeks of recent compilation) signifies a protracted ordeal that continues to impact the Victim's ability to fully recover and move forward from the extensive personal and systemic abuses suffered.

**Addendum**

The cumulative impact on the Victim has been severe, resulting in profound personal injury, psychological distress, and significant financial loss (including homelessness, directly caused

by the fraudulent process). This document aims to clearly establish a verifiable and unambiguous account of the timeline and the culpability of those involved, seeking justice and redress for the grievous violations of the Victim's rights, and to demonstrate that the underlying civil fraud vitiates the judgment, rendering it null and void.

While the Victim’s resilience and adaptive discipline are evidenced in personal background and occasional quotations, the cumulative impact cannot be minimised. Even with these coping strategies, the pressure became unsustainable, as shown by the documented self-referral of 10/10/2022. This underscores that the harm described here is not speculative or subjective, but corroborated in the evidential record.