

# Synthesis: What the Documents Show

## 1. Core Proposition

The documents together demonstrate a **continuous fraud chain** beginning with a falsified police record in 2018, amplified by an ASB officer between 2017–2021, laundered into civil process in 2020, embedded into NHS records in 2021–22, and still relied on by police standards bodies in 2025. This contamination underpins an unlawful arrest, a void injunction, and an unlawful committal.

---

## 2. Origin (2017–2018)

- **Sharples** reframes the Victim as an aggressor following neighbourhood disputes.
  - **17 July 2018**: Actual event = assault + ambulance attendance.
  - **Police record** replaces this with a false “criminal damage” entry.
  - This falsified entry becomes the **seed-error** contaminating every later system: police → landlord → NHS → courts.
- 

## 3. Escalation (2019–2020)

- Sharples engineers ASB allegations, internal narratives, and “harassment emails”.
  - **27 Sept 2020**: Police arrest the Victim based on a **non-existent “power of arrest.”**
  - **14 Oct 2020 (N16A + N205c)**: First lawful issue of process — proves the claimed 16 Sept hearing never occurred.
  - The injunction therefore has **no lawful birth** → jurisdictional defect → fraud on the court.
- 

## 4. Procedural Laundering (2021)

- **5–15 Jan 2021 email thread**: Sharples continues pushing disproven narratives to police.
  - **22 Apr 2021 Professionals’ Meeting**: NHS adopts the Sharples/police false narrative as clinical fact.
  - Contaminated narrative becomes embedded in health records → used later as “expert opinion.”
- 

## 5. Institutional Entrenchment (2022–2023)

- **Dr Singh (16 Nov 2022)**: Issues psychiatric report relevant to civil claims against Suffolk Constabulary echoing contaminated NHS data.

- NHS reports are relied upon in committal context, despite no lawful foundation for the injunction.
  - Committal order (13 Oct 2022) proceeds while the Victim was unexpectedly detained under MHA s.2 following a voluntary admission — raising significant procedural-fairness concerns.
  - **Satchell Moran** withdraws after recognising tainted evidence → professional collapse.
- 

## 6. Independent Validation (2025)

- **EEAS disclosure (1 Jul 2025)** confirms the 2018 police log was falsified.
  - **PSD correspondence (Sept–Oct 2025)** still relies on the falsified log, proving the contamination remains active.
  - This shows the fraud is **ongoing**, not historical.
- 

## 7. Legal Position

The documents collectively establish:

### (a) Civil and public-law wrongs

- Fraud (Fraud Act 2006 ss.1–2)
- Perjury / false statements (Perjury Act 1911; CPR r.32.14)
- False imprisonment (civil and Art. 5 HRA)
- Malicious prosecution
- Misfeasance in public office
- Data protection breaches (DPA 2018; UK GDPR)
- Equality Act harassment / discrimination
- Unlawful MHA detention
- Breach of Art. 6 and Art. 8 HRA
- Vicarious liability for employer bodies

### (b) Jurisdictional nullity

The injunction and all derivative actions (arrest, committal, imprisonment) are void ab initio because:

- no valid prior hearing,
- false statutory declaration,
- late/non-service,
- reliance on falsified records.

**Fraus omnia corrumpit applies.**

---

## 8. Evidential Structure

The documents provide:

- **Orientation & Analytical Overview** — the architecture and causal chain.
  - **Core Narrative** — key facts and sequence.
  - **Jurisdictional Defect** — why the injunction cannot stand.
  - **Fraud Continuum** — the multi-year propagation model.
  - **Systemic Rupture** — NHS and procedural collapse.
  - **Claims Matrix + Legislation** — the legal map.
  - **Concerns & Issues** — the professional-level defect summary.
  - **Personal Context** — cost and reconstruction but not relied upon for liability.
- 

## 9. SUMMARY

The documents describe a **single, coherent continuum**:

**2017–18 seed falsification → 2020 fraudulent injunction → 2021–22 clinical contamination → 2022 unlawful committal → 2023–25 professional/systemic recursion.**

Every part is evidenced.

Every step is cross-linked.

Every action radiates from the same jurisdictional defect.