

CONTEXT

NARRATIVE THROUGH-LINE (THE FACT PATTERN)

The story is a **slow, grinding collision** between an ordinary man and a set of institutions that absorb a lie, repeat it, formalise it, and eventually use it as justification for unlawful detention. The narrative is not chaos — it is a **chain reaction**, triggered by a single malicious act and amplified by systemic inertia.

1. A normal life, already carrying old injuries

- Childhood trauma → longstanding PTSD/anxiety, fully managed by 2009.
- Works, writes, keeps to himself.
- Relocates to Suffolk; stable, quiet routine.

There is **no pattern of instability**, violence, or disorder.

This matters because the later narrative about the victim is fabricated from whole cloth.

2. 2017–2018: The catalytic encounter

A new tenant (“Crystal-25”) arrives with visible instability and substance issues.

One evening, the victim walks past her rear gate and encounters her with the ASB Officer.

This is the pivot.

What follows happens with suspicious speed:

- The victim is falsely accused of “stalking.”
- ASB Officer demands access to the victims CCTV.
- After discovering he is on the victims footage, his hostility escalates.
- A neck-grab assault by Crystal-25 is reported; police record it but minimise it.
- Nine months later, a car drives up beside the victim and shouts:
“**Watch that old boy down there — he’s a paedo.**”

This is the origin of the rumour.

The 2018 assault is **not recorded** in police systems despite officers attending.

This erasure becomes the seed of the civil fraud.

3. 2018–2020: Narrative formation and institutional uptake

Parallel failures accumulate:

ASB Officer's track:

- Creates malicious written allegations.
- Reframes the victim as an aggressor in correspondence.
- Begins constructing a false behavioural pattern.
- Uses official letterhead for informal threats.
- Misuses authority and processes.

Police track:

- Downplay the victims reports.
- Accept unverified claims from Crystal-25 and ASB Officer.
- Begin internal notes portraying the victim as “fixated,” “unwell,” “worsening mental health.”

The false rumour is now institutionalised.
Not proven, not investigated — **assumed**.

4. 27/09/2020: The unlawful arrest

ASB Officer tells police there is a “power of arrest” from a civil injunction that **does not exist**.

Police act on this fiction.

- The victim is arrested unlawfully.
- Property seized.
- Mental health narrative invoked again.
- Later, police settle a claim for the unlawful arrest — a tacit admission.

But the damage is already done:
The false narrative is now **official**.

5. Late 2020: The civil fraud begins

Landlord serves N16A injunction documents containing:

- A false claim of a prior hearing (16/09/2020).
- A false claim of an existing “power of arrest.”
- A statutory declaration deliberately omitting Human Rights issues to block Legal Aid.

The goal is simple:

Force an injunction through deception and deprive the victim of a voice, of representation.

This becomes the spine of all future legal action against the victim.

6. 14/01/2021: The nuclear allegation

The ASB Officer emails a police sergeant:

He accuses the victim of “paedophile-type behaviour.”

It is immediately disproven the next day.
Police know it is false.

No action is taken against the ASB Officer.

Instead, the false narrative quietly embeds deeper in police and mental health notes.

This is the moment the system commits to the wrong story.

7. 2021: Mental health weaponisation

Professionals’ Meeting:

- Police and landlord staff repeat baseless claims.
- Officers describe the victim as paranoid, delusional, conspiratorial — **because the victim reports the very misconduct they are participating in.**
- Clinical and police processes were **redirected to justify punitive action** rather than address facts.

The victims entirely factual statements about malicious behaviour are reframed as symptoms.

This is classic bureaucratic self-protection.

8. 2021–2022: Escalation and data breaches

Repeated breaches occur:

- Police share confidential arrest details with the landlord.
- Sensitive mental health information is passed to non-authorised staff.
- That unlawfully obtained data is then used in civil proceedings.

This is not an accident.

It is a feedback loop:

false narrative → arrest → leaked info → used as evidence → stronger false narrative.

9. 28/07/2021 → 2022: The detention pathway

- Section 136 detention (unwarranted).
- Dismissive and prejudicial mental health notes.
- Ongoing circulation of the rumour behind closed doors.
- More civil filings based on the original fraudulent injunction.

By this stage, the institutions are reinforcing each other's errors.

10. 15/11/2022: The collapse — unlawful incarceration

Police arrest the victim **from a mental health context** and transport him to prison for civil contempt:

- Based on an injunction obtained by fraud.
- Supported by misrepresentations.
- Enforced using information illegally shared.
- Ignoring evidence of vulnerability.
- Ignoring the legal defects known about since 2020.

This is the end point of the arc:

an unlawful deprivation of liberty, rooted not in behaviour but in a lie.