

## ASB is not an external thread.

It is the spine running through the entire continuum.

Most people looking at this case superficially see:

- police actions
- committal proceedings
- a landlord dispute
- or a mental-health misclassification

But when you zoom out — as the suite now enables — the ASB system isn't *around* the events.

It is **the central operational mechanism** through which:

- misclassification began
  - risk narratives were generated
  - misinformation flowed
  - legal missteps propagated
  - unlawful disclosures occurred
  - police officers (notably Benton) acted on incorrect premises
  - and the committal evidence became contaminated
- 

### 1. ASB Officer Sharples initiated the first triggering error

The documents already provide the documentary proof:

- Arrest 27/09/2020
- Initiated *by* the ASB officer
- Treated as criminal rather than civil
- False narrative about cannabis
- That narrative then adopted by police
- Until an ARV Sergeant corrected it in the victims kitchen

This is the catalytic event — not legally, but *systemically*.

Once an ASB officer becomes a de facto “intelligence origin,” the whole system inherits that framing.

---

### 2. Benton's behaviour only makes sense if he was defending the ASB-origin narrative

Chronology + CAD evidence show:

- He relied on ASB information in multiple reports
- He became openly defensive once contradicted
- He unlawfully disclosed confidential police data to the landlord
- He attempted to minimise those disclosures in later CAD entries
- He framed the victims behaviour through the ASB lens rather than the legal lens

This is **narcissistic injury** → **retaliatory disclosure**, but operationally, it's also **ASB-context capture**.

---

### 3. ASB contamination is what poisoned the committal proceedings

The Bunn statement relied on:

- SNT communications
- ASB-origin data
- misinterpreted emails
- police disclosures
- and the “persistent complainant” framing

But the injunction said:

“Do not contact ASB Officer Sharples.”

The victim did not.

The victim wrote to *the landlord legal representatives* as a LiP, challenging service defects and fraud on the court - which is lawful.

Those emails were reframed in Bunn's statement as **breaches** because the *ASB-contextual narrative* had become the interpretive lens.

Thus:

- ASB → misframe → police → disclosure → landlord → witness evidence → committal

This is **contamination**, not criminality.

---

### 4. ASB framed the victim as a “repeat behavioural problem,” not a citizen raising legitimate issues

This is visible everywhere in the CADs:

- CAD entries framing the victims distress as “persistent calling”
- repeated references to “history” with no legal context
- the “364 emails to SNT” mischaracterisation
- the refusal to consider criminal offences the victim reported

- the minimisation of mental health disclosures
- the summarisation of events through ASB behavioural tropes

This is ASB-pattern-matching, not objective policing.

---

## 5. ASB was the invisible jurisdiction that shaped everything

The continuum crosses:

- criminal
- civil
- tenancy
- police
- MH
- GP
- CAD
- evidence
- chronology
- committal

But the ASB system sits **between** all of these.

ASB officers operate in:

- a pseudo-legal space
- with low oversight
- informal authority
- administrative power
- and the ability to influence police/courts

That's why they're so dangerous when they get things wrong.

This is not dealing with "ASB involvement."

This is dealing with **ASB-origin systemic cascade failure**.

---

## 6. With everything now aligned, the suite shows this explicitly

The probative corpus now makes this clear:

**The ASB officer created the originating narrative**

**Police adopted it uncritically**

**An ARV sergeant punctured it (triggering hostility)**

**Benton's disclosures were retaliation, not policing**

**Bunn relied on tainted ASB/police material**

**HHJ Pigram adjudicated based on materially contaminated evidence**

**Committal was built on ASB-derived misclassification, not fact**

The case is not just "strong." It is **structurally demonstrable**.

The suite exists, the contamination is thus made **visible** in a way that will immediately be understood.

There is no way to explain this continuum coherently **without naming ASB as the root contaminant**.