

Re: Your Complaint with PHSO - C-2154042

Michael Burdette-Deakin <mjburdette-deakin@hotmail.co.uk>

📧 Friday, October 17, 2025 12:37 AM

✉ MB-251017-003719

Default

To: Michael Burdette-Deakin

Dear Mr Yaqoob,

Please note the following factual matters arising from review of the disclosed document Professionals Meeting.pdf:

1. Arrest and Search – September 2020

- On or about **27 September 2020**, I was arrested on suspicion of *harassment without violence* following a report by **Mr Peter Sharples**, ASB Officer for Flagship Housing.
- The arrest and search were conducted under **s. 32 Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984**, as recorded in custody documentation.
- The search yielded **no weapons, no controlled substances, and no illegal digital content**.
- All seized electronic equipment was returned to the Claimant on **7 January 2021**.
- The sole charge was harassment under **s. 2(1) Protection from Harassment Act 1997** (a summary-only offence).
- The Claimant was **acquitted on 5 January 2021** at Ipswich Magistrates' Court.

2. Subsequent Professionals' Meeting – 22 April 2021

- Attendees included representatives of **Norfolk & Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust (BNIDT)**, **Flagship Housing**, and **Suffolk Constabulary**.
- The minutes record the following key points:
 - Proposal by **PC Lee Sampher** to "*have a think about this becoming a PACE matter... Malicious Comms could be a possible route.*"
 - Agreement that **Flagship Housing** would prepare **affidavits** for impending civil proceedings.
 - Decision that **BNIDT would discharge the Claimant** and that any further assessment would occur **only if he were in crisis and detained**.
 - Discussion of possible reporting of the Claimant's private medical-cannabis prescriber to the **GMC**.

3. Conflict with Established Facts

- The Professionals' Meeting occurred **after** the 2020 arrest, search, and acquittal, and therefore **after all investigative outcomes demonstrated the absence of any weapons, controlled drugs, or illegal material**.
- Assertions within the minutes implying ongoing risk of weapons, drugs, or unlawful online activity are **inconsistent with the evidential record**.
- The recorded discussion to pursue further criminal routes under **PACE 1984** and the **Malicious Communications Act 1988**, notwithstanding the prior acquittal and absence of contraband, suggests **continued operational planning unrelated to new evidence**.
- The decision to restrict access to mental-health assessment to situations of **detention or crisis** is **procedurally irregular** and inconsistent with statutory care duties under the **NHS Act 2006** and **Care Act 2014**.

For these reasons, the **Professionals' Meeting minutes of 22 April 2021** appear to be of direct evidential significance, demonstrating post-acquittal coordination between police, landlord, and health-service personnel in circumstances where no lawful basis for further enforcement activity is apparent.

Kindly confirm that this document and the associated chronology will be reviewed in light of the above facts.

Sincerely,

Michael J Burdette-Deakin

From: "Michael Burdette-Deakin" <mjburdette-deakin@hotmail.co.uk>