



---

Clarity, I hope, sir.

---

From Michael Burdette-Deakin <mjburdette-deakin@hotmail.co.uk>

Date Mon 05/04/2021 11:09

To Shipton, Mark <Mark.Shipton@suffolk.police.uk>

Dear Mr Shipton,

I understand how you can think that my complaint here is with the offender Sharples, please bear with this very lengthy email it will I believe serve to illuminate the necessity for my concern with policing in Norfolk and Suffolk.

Familiarity is a dangerous enemy for any system based on rule of law, it leads to manipulation of that rule of law by introducing the 'human element'. In this instance that 'human element' has been exploited to no good end, consequently there has grown complacency among officers 'on the ground' with regard to figures in authority positions with whom they are 'familiar' and interact with on a very frequent basis. This familiarity breeds contempt for the correct procedures that while tedious are there for the sole purpose of establishing truth, even so called 'half-truths' have been 'discovered' with correct deployment of procedure where that 'familiarity' all too often lets it 'slip by'.

It only takes ONE police officer under someone else's coercive control and manipulation to make every police officer a suspect in the eyes of a victim... When the victim KNOWS that officer is not behaving rationally and sensibly but cannot SHOW that, how do you suppose that makes the victim FEEL? How destabilising would that be to the victim also under the same source of coercive control? The officer in question would be under an illusion spun by the source of coercive control and convinced of the dissimulated narrative they had been made aware of up to that point.

This complex lie of omission then spreads through the ranks, culminating in a Sergeant 'ripe' for coercive control and thus further enabling the offender...

This is the complaint I have Mark. I am not the only victim, my contact list has many victims and I have no doubt there are others yet out there, still labouring under unjust perception of themselves by officers of the law they SHOULD be able to trust but can't.

Yes the individual responsible for this carnage will be held accountable and those 'gears' are already engaged so to speak, there remains damage control and the matter of misguided officers still of the mindset that some 'tedious procedures' can be left by the way for the individual they have on radar as he is already besmirched although they know not exactly why, or question it.

I was very lucky, I knew why, how and when...

It is a perversion of policing and it has already taken a root here in Mildenhall, how do you protect people when you are not fully briefed on what you are investigating?

Accountability feels like an attack when you are not mindful of behaviour that harms others

The 14th January email?

That was a last desperate attempt...

You see, because of my arrest and charge on 27th September 2020 and the way that first injunction had been 'processed' by Peter Sharples ASB, I had no way to raise awareness any further within Flagship Group LTD Mildenhall office of the matters at hand for which they were unaware of the full details. This was confirmed to me not long after the final civil trial hearing when Flagship staff began attempting to verify lies of the email sender, such things as the existence of the tree stump West Suffolk Council Parks and Gardens had given permission for me to destroy by fire, the disabled access dropped kerb...

That injunction application was enacted by the court on 14th October 2020, but I never received any paperwork relating even to its application or enactment by interim court and set for continuance hearing until 4th November 2020.

The continuance hearing was scheduled for the 6th November 2020... Deliberately leaving me virtually no time to prepare since I had been focussed on the criminal matter arising from my arrest on 27th September 2020. An arrest based on an impression given to the police that this was not the first complaint from Peter Sharples ASB, these officers were unaware that the previous complaint 12th August 2020 was in fact a hearsay allegation (SC-09082020-120 no offensive language used, 999 call) in response to my report of being harassed in my rear garden. Police attended alleging offensive language on 9th of August 2020.

So, my arrest on 27th September is based entirely on a SINGLE complaint of email harassment by Peter Sharples ASB, bail conditions: "Not to contact any member of staff at Flagship Group LTD".

I had at this stage no idea the significance of the question asked by the officer in my lounge immediately after being handcuffed on 27th September 2020 "Michael, have you ever lived in Red Lodge" but I had a fair idea someone was digging around in my past for some mud to throw if they could find any

Long before the 6th November and the continuance hearing and subsequent to that hearing I was virtually cut off from communicating with anyone at Flagship Group LTD Mildenhall office without prior contact from them. Which was the intent, to prevent my side of this argument ever getting to anyone else at Flagship Group LTD. Someone in such a position of enhanced trust and duty of care would have the access required to divert all emails and in fact, in his statement of facts this individual in this highly trusted position declares quite proudly that he monitors all incoming email for ASB content (statement of facts 18/02/2021). I find this fact incredibly disturbing.

So, I waited, the court deadline for submission to Civil Trial was 22nd December 2020. Someone would send me an email requesting my court bundle of statement of facts and responses to the Scott Schedule.

Now, I was aware at this stage and had been since mid-Summer 2018 that this individual in the landlord's local office meant to cause me serious harm out of his own guilty mind, so it was safe to assume that all the staff in that Mildenhall office currently dealing with Peter Sharples paperwork relating to civil matters ONLY knew me as a tenant and not the very familiar, friendly, intelligent gentleman driving a roofless car with a parrot riding 'shotgun'.

That reasoning in mind it was also safe to assume I knew that my actual character in and around Mildenhall is pretty solid, but that my actual character and the individual that the staff in the Mildenhall office were preparing civil proceedings regarding, by way of lies of omission, remained unconnected except for Mr Peter Sharples ASB.

On the 21st December 2020, late as expected, I received an email from a legal staff member within Flagship Group LTD Mildenhall office, in response I sent court paperwork and a photograph of me doing what I do as part of my submission to the court, feeling certain that everyone in that office will have heard of me, some will have seen me and even others still will have interacted with me.

I knew very well this would rock his boat hard... which is why a very few short weeks later Sergeant Karl Benton of Mildenhall police station received a series of emails attempting, and succeeding, to coerce incrimination.

The 14th January email sent to Sergeant Karl Benton was forwarded all around the landlord's office with intent to destabilise those who actually knew me, with a smoke and mirrors story to a POLICE OFFICER, said police SERGEANT then acted on that email 'fiction' and gave Peter Sharples information for which Peter Sharples knew there was no authority. He had hoped to get some kind of result back from his unlawful request of Sergeant Benton for a PPU register search, which is where the two deleted emails would have illuminated the coercion of Sergeant Benton. Purely because I connected my name in the office, with the person everyone IN that office knew, the person in the photograph.

Just like his maliciously instigated arrest on 27th September 2020 where the SIX officers that came here were under the impression there were weapons, drugs and child porn in the house, none of which were found... He had the authority to 'commandeer the vehicle' but NOT for that purpose which led to an unknown number of officers, sgt Benton included, in failing in their own duty of care and responsibility for the trust placed with them.

Those SIX officers attending my home on 27th September 2020 were 'working' under an impression cultivated by Peter Sharples ASB Manager, Flagship Group LTD with a guilty conscience he needed to 'bury', they still have that impression of me and likely a number of other victims, as I said this is not about Peter Sharples, but about the damage of right minded thinking and critical reasoning with an unknown number of constables and at least one sergeant, who are still 'engaged' on that fallacy.

So you see, my complaint IS about the police and the attitude they have fostered toward an individual who is now demonstrably NOT even remotely as trustworthy as a very large number of police officers have previously presumed.

Simply for want of a record check regarding someone "Run out of Red Lodge for paedophile type behaviour", because it's not that big a village and there will have been reports leading up to that event if not the event itself.

I was livinhg in Newmarket and did not even know his mother at that time.

Either Sgt Benton, and or others, didn't care what was going on, or were complicit in some way...

With respect...